# **GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

**Appeal No. 66/2017** 

Mr. Bharat L. Candolkar, Vady Candolim, Bardez Goa.

..... Appellant

### V/s.

- Public Information Officer
  Mr. Sanjeev Joglekar, GCZMA,
  Porvorim Goa.
- 2. First Appellate Authority Member Secretary, GCZMA, Porvorim Bardez Goa.

...... Respondents

#### **CORAM:**

# **CORAM:**

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on: 24/5/2017 Decided on: 12/07/2017

## **ORDER**

- 1. The facts in brief arises in the present appeal are that the Information seeker Shri Bharat kandolkar, by his application dated 9/2/2017- sought certain information from the Respondent No. 1 PIo Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority(GCZMA) Porvorim, Bardez Goa as stated therein in the said application. The said information was sought by appellant in excise of his right u/s 6(1) of the Right to information Act, 2005.
- 2. The said application was responded by the Respondent No. 1PIO on 1/3/2017, thereby calling upon the appellant for inspection.
- 3. It is the case of the appellant that in pursuant to the said letter he conducted the inspection, however the PIO failed to provide him complete inspection of record, and as no any information documents was received by him from the Respondent PIO , the appellant preferred first appeal u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act on 27/3/17

with Member Secretary being first appellate authority who is the Respondent No.2 herein .

- 4. It is the case of the appellant that respondent No. 2 First Appellate Authority has not heard and decided the first appeal or communicated decision if any to him as such being aggrieved by the action of both the respondent, he was forced to approach this commission by way of second appeal on 24/5/2017 filed u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act.
- 5. In the present appeal, the appellant has sought for prayer for furnishing him correct and full information as sought by him by his application dated 9/2/17 and for invoking penal sections against the Respondent .
- 6. After notifying the parties the matter was taken up for hearing . In pursuant to the notice, the appellant was present alongwith Advocate Atish Mandrekar . Respondent PIO was represented by Shri Bhaskar Shinde .
- 7. During the hearing the information was furnished to the appellant vide letter dated 28/6/2017 by the Respondent No. 1 PIO and the appellant was directed to verify the said information. The Advocate for the appellant on 12/7/2017 submitted that he had received the complete information as per his clients requirement hence desire to withdraw the present appeal without any condition. Accordingly Appellant and his Advocate endorsed his say on the memo of appeal.
- 8. In view of submission and endorsement made by the appellant and his Advocate I find no reason to proceed with the appeal and same stands disposed as withdrawn.

Proceeding stands closed.

Sd/-

(Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar)

State Information Commissioner Goa State Information Commission,

9.